What happens when photon energy matches energy transition levels in matter?



Energy levels inside every matter are quantized; details depend on the matter

Optoelectronics (06/2)



Consider only two energy levels: ground and excited states Assume $hv = E_2 - E_1$

→ Three interaction processes are possible



Optoelectronics (06/2)

Prof. Woo-Young Choi

Determine the rate for each process





What is the dominant process at equalibrium (No net change of N₁, N₂, ρ)?

$$\therefore R_{12} = R_{sp} + R_{21}$$

$$B_{12} \cdot N_1 \cdot \rho = A_{21} \cdot N_2 + B_{21} \cdot N_2 \cdot \rho$$

$$\rho = \frac{A_{21}}{B_{12}}$$

$$\rho = \frac{A_{21}}{\frac{N_1}{N_2} - \frac{B_{21}}{B_{12}}}$$

From another branch of physics (statistical mechanics)

Electron distribution at equalibrium

Photon distribution at equalibrium (Planck law for black-body radiation)

$$\frac{N_2}{N_1} = \exp\left(-\frac{E_2 - E_1}{kT}\right) \xrightarrow{E_2} \rho(h\upsilon) = \frac{8\pi h\upsilon^3}{c^3 \left[\exp\left(\frac{h\upsilon}{kT}\right) - 1\right]}$$

Optoelectronics (06/2)



Consider the spectrum of light emission from an heated object (Thermal emission) (heat => oscillatrion of charges inside the object => EM emission)

Very detailed analysis is possible for "black body" radiation (Rayleigh-Jeans Law). (black body: object that absorbs 100% of incoming EM radiation => 100% emission) => Max. EM emission from a heated object at a given temperature)



Optoelectronics (06/2)



Compare



Assuming $hv = E_1 - E_2$, two expressions should be identical.

$$\frac{B_{21}}{B_{12}} = 1$$
 and $\frac{A_{21}}{B_{12}} = \frac{A_{21}}{B_{21}} = \frac{8\pi h \upsilon^3}{c^3}$

Interpretations:

- Absorption or simulated emission depends only on N_1 and N_2

- Spontaneous emission and stimulated emission are intrinsically related

→ Einstein's A, B constants



Which process is dominant at equalibrium?

Stimulated emission vs. absorption

$$\frac{R_{21}}{R_{12}} = \frac{B_{21}N_2\rho}{B_{12}N_1\rho} = \frac{N_2}{N_1} = \exp\left(-\frac{E_2 - E_1}{kT}\right) <<1$$



Virtually no possibility for stimulated emission at equalibrium





Which process is dominant at equalibrium?

Stimulated emission vs. spontaneous emission

$$\frac{R_{21}}{R_{sp}} = \frac{B_{21}N_{2}\rho}{A_{21}N_{2}} = \frac{B_{21}}{A_{21}} \bullet \rho = \frac{c^{3}}{8\pi\hbar\upsilon^{3}} \bullet \frac{8\pi\hbar\upsilon^{3}}{c^{3}\left[\exp\left(\frac{\hbar\upsilon}{kT}\right) - 1\right]} = \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{E_{2} - E_{1}}{kT}\right) - 1}$$

$$\stackrel{E_{2}}{\longrightarrow} \frac{\lambda = 1.55\mu m}{E_{1}} \quad \frac{R_{21}}{R_{sp}} = \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{0.8eV}{0.04eV}\right) - 1} = \frac{1}{4.84 \times 10^{8} - 1} \sim 2 \times 10^{-9}$$

Virtually all photon emission is due to spontaneous emission at equalibrium



How can we cause stimulated emission?



Make N₂ larger than N₁: Break equalibrium and "pump" carriers into E₂



Exercise Problems:

Prob. 12 in Part 2, Prob. 1 in Part 3

